Applicant: Mingchih M. Tseng et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 00216-091011 / OB-37H

Serial No.: 10/663,352

Filed: September 15, 2003

Page : 3 of 4

## PRELIMINARY REMARKS

Applicants have decided to pursue the claims similar to those that were finally rejected in an office action dated February 27, 2001 during prosecution of U.S.S.N. 09/390,963. A copy of that office action, and of the amendment that preceded the office action, are enclosed.

The claims relate to a matrix that includes a water-insoluble polymer, a water-soluble polymer, and a water-leachable colorant. Applicants mentioned "water-leachable" colorants on page 18 of the application, but do not provide an express definition of water-leachable. None was necessary because the ordinary and accustomed meaning of "leach" is "to dissolve out by the action of a percolating liquid." See the enclosed excerpt from Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary. "Water-leachable" thus simply means that the colorant is water soluble. See Texas Digital Systems v. Telegenix, Inc., 308 F.3d 1993, 1202 (Fed. Cir. 2002) ("The term used in the claims bear a 'heavy presumption' that they... have the ordinary meaning that would be attributed to the words by a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art....It has long been recognized... that dictionaries... are particularly useful resources [for determining ordinary meaning].").

Claim 45 is distinct, for example, from the composites disclosed in Tseng, U.S. Pat. 5,349,750 ("Tseng"). Tseng describes a shaving aid composite for use on razors. The components of the composite include a water-insoluble polymer and a water-soluble polymer. Example 1 discloses a composite that also includes a "color dye", but does not disclose that the color dye is water-leachable as required by the claims. Claims 45-50 therefore are novel Tseng. Moreover, Tseng does not teach that he wants the components to change color with use, and as a result does not suggest that a water-leachable colorant should be used in the composite. Claims 45-50 therefore are not suggested by Tseng.

Applicants ask that the claim be examined in view of the amendment to the claims. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06 1050.

Applicant: Mingchih M. Tseng et al.

Serial No.: 10/663,352

Filed:

: September 15, 2003

Page

: 4 of 4

Date: April 6, 2004

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804 Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20837091.doc

Attorney's Docket No.: 00216-091011 / OB-37H

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Nabinger

Reg. No. 33,431